



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/727,709	12/04/2000	Stacy Bryant	52493.000126	3945
75	590 09/11/2002			
Hunton & Wil	lliams	EXAMINER		
1900 K Street, 1		THAI, HANH B		
Washington, Do	C 20006-1109			ANN B
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2171
DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner		(1) p 1 (1)	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner Hanh B Thai  2171  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the providence of 3.7 FR 1.736(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed  if the pariod for reply spoolibed above, the maximum attatory period via the attatutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the pariod for reply spoolibed above, the maximum attatacy period via the attatutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the pariod for reply spoolibed above, the maximum attatacy period via the attatutory minimum on thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the pariod for reply spoolibed above, the maximum attatacy period via they are disclosed in the communication.  Fallow to reply within the set or extended pariod for reply vall, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDORID (33 U.S. 5 (13)).  Any reply received by the Office so the him term ensimilar date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any  Application is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL attain in sort in son-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The proposed drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  11) The proposed drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Office Action Summary					_				
Hanh B Thai  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edensions of imm myb a varieble under the provisions of 30 FFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rapty be timely filled after 50X (6) MCNT155 from the mailing date of this communication.  1 110 Deprodict from the state of content of the content address of the communication.  1 110 Deprodict only is securified above, the meatiment adultably part of will egy but and villegis XI (6) MCNT155 (more the mailing date of this communication.  1 110 Deprodict only is securified above, the meatiment maturably part of will egy but and villegis XI (6) MCNT155 (more the mailing date of this communication.  1 110 Deprodict only is securified above, the meatiment adultably part of will egy but and villegis XI (6) MCNT155 (more than the more more adultable part of the province of the communication.  1 110 Deprodict on the set of content of the province of the communication.  2 110 Deprodict of the communication is one of the communication.  3 111 Deprodiction is FINAL.  2 112 Deprodiction is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  2 113 Disposition of Claims  3 114 Deprodiction is objected to the set of the communication.  4 115 Deprodiction is objected to by the Examiner.  3 115 Deprodiction is objected to by the Examiner.  4 116 Deprodiction is objected to by the Examiner.  4 117 Deproposed drawings or rection filed on is a captured in reply to this Office action.  2 119 Deproved corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  3 119 Deproved corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  3 119 Deproved corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  3 119 Deproved corrected drawings are req										
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  • Steries of time may be variable under the provisions of 3 (75 ft 1.13(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed • the SX (9 MONTH form the mailling date of this communication. • If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will acquire SX (9 MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. • If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will acquire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. • If the period for reply is appecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will acquire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. • Failuse to reply within the set or contended period for reply with the set or contended period for reply with the set or contended period for reply within the set of reply with the set or contended period for reply within the set of reply with the set of the communication, even if threely filed, may reduce any  • Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on <u>04 December 2000</u> .  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are allowed.  8) □ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are allowed.  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2000</u> is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 an		-								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be variables under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 50 (c) MoNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the provider of this first from the mailing date of this communication.  If the provider of this first from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to cepty within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONTED (38 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office bett than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any analysis of the provider of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any analysis of the provider of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any analysis of the search of the provider of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any analysis of the search of the search of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any analysis of the search of the					the correspondence addr	ess				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eatherbors of time may be variable under the provisions of 3 CRR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (s) MCNITS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the proind for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MCNITS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MCNITS from the mailing date of this communication.  Pallure to reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MCNITS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on O4 December 2000.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are allowed.  8) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are allowed.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on O4 December 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11		• •			NTIVO EDOM					
This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is led that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for extended period for the set or extended period for reply within	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no encipation. days, a reply within the startory period will apply and will by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a rep autory minimum of thirty ( will expire SIX (6) MONTH plication to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  1S from the mailing date of this comi	nunication.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 December 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			d an Od Dagombor	2000						
3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 04 December 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	·	•								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 December 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			•—		ore proceedition as to the	morite ie				
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 December 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	·	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte</i> (	pt for formal matte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ments is				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on oldermber 2000 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•		oplication.							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on o4 _December _2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1/123									
6)	5)[7]	,								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on of	, —	, ,								
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on O4 December 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 04 December 2000 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election	requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2000</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Applicat	ion Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	,	•								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December :</u>	<u>2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ a∈	ccepted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		•								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	11)			•	sapproved by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		, ,		Office action.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			by the Examiner.							
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	-									
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	:		or foreign priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (t).					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	a)									
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		<del>_</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						1				
	* ;	application from the Interna	itional Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).		age				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)						pplication).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign lang	guage provisional a	application has be	en received.					
Attachment(s)	-		•							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) per No(s)	5) Notice of In						

Art Unit: 2171

This is in response to application filed on December 4, 2000 in which claims 1-24 are presented for examination.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Faloutsos et al. U. S. patent no. 5,758,338.

- 1. Regarding claims 1-3, Faloutsos discloses a process for performing administrative functions connected with a sales related database comprising the steps of:
- receiving updated information for the sales-related database in a file configured in a predetermined format (column 3, lines 44-48);
- saving the configured file containing the updated information to the sales related database (column 3, lines 48-56); and

Faloutsos, however, does not explicitly disclose uploading the sales-related database to a web-based database using an administrative tool. But, Faloutsos does teach the processor has functionality of downloading data over the bus on (column 3, lines 58-65). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to upload information

Art Unit: 2171

in the sales-related database to the web-based database, in order to distribute the information over the Internet.

Claims 4-5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faloutsos et al. U. S. Patent no. 5,758,338 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Johnson et al. U. S. Patent no. 6,067,525.

- 2. Regarding claim 4, Faloutsos does not explicitly disclose
  - a user login module for restricting access to the system
- a database access module for accessing data stored in the sales-related database and for reading one or more configured files; and
  - a file upload module for uploading data to the sales-related database and the system.

But, it is well known to divide program into multiple modules as evidenced by Johnson (FIG. 16: "product module", "configurator module" and "respective module") because it is more efficient to create and debug software.

- 3. Regarding claim 5, the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim
- 4. Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claim 4 above. In addition, Johnson discloses the system comprising a report building module for presenting data in one or more predetermined formats and for generating one or more reports (Fig.15A-C).

Art Unit: 2171

4. Regarding claim 7, the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim

4. Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claim 4 above. In addition, John discloses

the system wherein the file upload module further comprises a form generator to generate

preconfigured forms for receiving one or more information updates (column 11, lines 47-57).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faloutsos et al. U.

S. Patent no. 5,758,338 as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of Johnson et al. U. S. Patent

no. 6,067,525 and view of Harris et al. U. S. Patent no. 5,893,904.

5. Regarding claim 6, the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim

4. Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claim 4 above. Furthermore, neither

Faloutsos nor Johnson discloses, "broker operations module". Harris, however, discloses this

limitation on (column 18, lines50-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in

the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Faloutsos and Johson, as taught by

Harris to manage the brokers' information.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faloutsos et al. U.

S. Patent no. 5,758,338 as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of Johnson et al. U. S. Patent

no. 6,067,525 and view of Kappel U. S. Patent no. 6,144,988.

6. Regarding claim 8, the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim

7. Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claim 7 above. Furthermore, neither

Art Unit: 2171

Faloutsos nor Johnson discloses "servlet module". Kappel, however, discloses this limitation (see abstract of Kappel). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Faloutsos and Johson, as taught by Kappel to describe the communication over the web server (column 1, lines 44-46).

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Discount et al. U. S. Patent no. 6,012,066 in view of Faloutsos et al. U. S. Patent no. 5,758,338.

- 7. Regarding claim 9, Discount discloses a process for performing administrative functions connected with a sales related database comprising the steps of:
  - receiving login information from a client system;
  - determining if the login information is valid;
- transmitting an administrative functions home page to the client system if the login information is valid (column 4, lines 55-67);
  - receiving a function link selection from the client system (column 1, lines 10-33);
  - determining which function link was selected;
- displaying an administrative function screen corresponding to the function link selected;
  - receiving updated information in a predetermined format (column 9, lines 13-23);

Discount does not explicitly disclose updating the sales-related database to store the received updated information. Faloutsos, however, discloses these limitations on (column 3, lines 44-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 2171

invention to modify the teaching of Discount, as taught by Faloutsos, to process the information requests (column 1, lines 25-26).

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Discount et al. U. S. Patent no. 6,012,066 in view of Faloutsos et al. U. S. Patent no. 5,758,338 and further in view of O'Neil et al. U. S. Patent no. 5,987,440.

- Regarding claims 10-11, the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 9. Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claim 9 above. Furthermore, neither Discount nor Faloutsos discloses "broker information". O'Neil, however, discloses this limitation on (column 1, lines 34-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Faloutsos, as taught by O'Neil to manage the brokers' information.
- Regarding claim 12, the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 11. Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claim 1 above. In addition, O'Neil discloses the process of at least one broker information screen includes at least one of a broker detail screen, a broker contact information screen and a broker public key loading screen (FIG. 4 of O'Neil).

Page 6

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/727,709

Art Unit: 2171

Claims 13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Discount et al. U. S. Patent no. 6,012,066 in view of Faloutsos et al. U. S. Patent no. 5,758,338, further in view of O'Neil et al. U. S. Patent no. 5,987,440 and Raveis U. S. Parent no. 6,321,202.

- 10. Regarding claims 13-17, neither Faloutsos nor O'Neil discloses "a rate change", "report", "quote". It would have been obvious to have these information in real estate services as evidenced by Raveis because these are the elements of a complete mortgage processing.
- 11. Regarding claims 18-24, the elements of these claims have been rejected in the analysis above and these claims are rejected on that basis.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/727,709

Art Unit: 2171

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh B Thai whose telephone number is 703-305-4883. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-9099 for regular communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Hanh Thai ⊮ August 26, 2002

WAYNE AMSBURY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER